suhrid palsule | Prof. K. Munshi



Background, introduction and objective

Why sanitation in schools?

- •sanitation equipment and hygiene tend to get a lesser priority in schools.
- analyse the role of sanitation in schools and
- design sanitaryware for schoolchildren.

Initial project brief: To re-design sanitation facilities for schools in India.

Sanitation in schools

Schools in India

- Varied
- Diverse
- Lot of load- students

The wedding travelers.com

School boys

Why this project

- •Interest in sanitaryware
- Personal experience (KV's, Govt. schools)
- Children
- Mass-application



Essential aspects of the project.
The central gray area represents the zone of work.

What Product

To redesign one or more of the following;

Washbasin, **Urinal**, WC, Faucet, fixtures, Combination

Why;

- •Frequency of use
- Needed in large numbers*
- Gender specific design
- Unique product interaction in schools(explained later)

SSHE: School sanitation, Health and Hygiene, MoHRD

- Comprehensive guide
- Start to finish

The project initially involved the whole range of school amenties, and getting feedbak. it was found that, the whole are]rey to orvemr

Schoolkids

School boys

Typical behavior in schools:

- Very energetic
- Hyperactive

Tentative Design criterias for product

Understanding the problem

_information collection

From people (exercises/ meetings):

- 3.1_Creativity sessions(common age group, mix
- 3.2_Focus group discussions(teachers, students)
- 3.3_Interviews- staff, parents
- 3.4_Photo study school, existing products
- 3.5_Written feedback- Parents

From books:

3.6_School Sanitation and Hygiene(MoHRD)
The Bathroom(Alexander Kira)

From the internet


(Study of current scenario- synchronic analysis):

3.7_School toilets, Urinals

Technology:

3.8_Flushless urinals, Material study, Auto flush





Name: Age:


FEEDBACK FORM: As part of a student project currently underway in IDC IITBOMBAY, feedback is sought from parents with respect to SCHOOL SANITARYWARE, and issues associated therewith. Kindly highlight any concerns/ issues one might have regarding the condition of school sanitaryware, namely; Urinals(boys) and washbasins. Any information provided would be valuable to the project and will be used solely for that purpose only. Please feel free to elaborate on what is needed in this KV and other similar schools. [The following images are given for reference purposes only].



_For boys urinal

- •Mounting at suitable height for the smaller kids
- Sensor operated flush
- Disinfectant dispenser
- Exhaust fan(for bathroom)
- •Flush-less urinal

Survey conducted by SUHRID PALSULE for his M.Des. degree project titled "School Sanitation", under the guidance of Prof. K. Munshi, IDC IITBombay, [Oct 09]




- Urinal design for boys needs a wall with impervious surface like ceramic tiles for urinating while standing and a properly sloped impervious floor towards the drain that carries the urine.
- The width shown here (450 to 600mm) is for children (450mm) and adult teachers (600mm). Hence
 while providing urinals, to economize, while most urinals may be 450mm wide, at least one urinal to
 be 600mm wide.
- · The depth of 600mm shown is applicable for all urinals.
- The open drain to be 100mm wide so that it can be cleaned periodically.



_issues discussed

School benches: cramped, no space for bags, instrument of nuisance,



Shows a picture of a washbasin in the particular school, used for study. The unit is constructed on site and is very crudely built. The dimensions are completely inappropriate for use and the essential features like a slope towards the back, placement of drain and faucets does not adhere to any standards.


- 2- the picture shows the cleaner filling water for washing the toilet. He must stand in the awkward square(which, by itself Is difficult to clean) and haul the water from that point in a separate bucket.
- 3- the platform on which the student is supposed to stand while using the washbasin Is highly incorrect
- 4- Urinals absent, Waste accumulation in gaps between tiles, Odour generated as a result, cleaning problems. Flushing system absent.








FRONT ELEVATION





_sanitation

students

- .need more toilets
- .unclean
- .tiles are slippery, always dirty
- .broken tiles, fixtures
- .taps are loosely mounted/

leak/too tight

- .don't like touching anything
- .drinking water system is dirty, U/S
- .placement of basin
- .bad odor, smell
- .water gets over
- .operating the tap
- .cubicles need hooks

Toilet seats are dirty

.latch on cubicle door is dirty/ too tight

teachers

- .too many users
- .subject to abuse
- .always dirty
- .difficult to clean
- .safety issues, girl's needs
- .dull/gloomy feel

staff

- .tiles difficult to clean
- .maintenance impossible during school hours
- .bad lighting

_why KV?

Government organization -

funding, admin, resources

Largest chain [981 schools nationally]

Self experience

Standardized nationwide - operations, infrastructure

_project scope Removing

_Concepts dependency on

mason/contractor/a

Modularity rchitect

alternate materials

Ease of installation Products

Factory fitted/

maintained washbasin Vandal proof, safety urinal, WC

issues faucet, fixtures Long-lasting combination

Changing attitude ? towards sanitation

saving water India specific solution

_tentative project brief

To design sanitation facilities for schools (KV's)

Issues to be addressed

Ease of installation

Ease of maintenance

Proper student-toilet ratio(modular)

Vandal proof, safety issues

Current situation of urinals in schools


product	remarks		Selected/ not
WC	How is it diff from home?		
urinal	maximum usage(gende r specific product)- Awesome!		
wash basin	Too complicated		
faucets	too wide a range, no schools specific issues		
cubicle doors	trivial		
drinking water dispensers(DWD)	separate entity, low priority		

Issues with urinal

Problem	Source of Informatio n	Cause	Possible solutions
Repulsive	Student, Parents, self-observation	Unclean, big, bulky form, smells	Unclean-Regular cleaning, easy-to-clean, easy-to- reach surfaces Form- compact, proportional to kids' body sizes Smell- reduce inside surface area
Outside, top surface unclean	self-observation	Surfaces difficult-to-reach, -to- clean. Fixtures, Plumbing makes things harder to clean	Make all surfaces easy to reach, to clean.
Surfaces difficult-to- reach, -to-clean	Cleaning staff, Self	Little space left in urinal cubicle	Smaller, compact urinal. Less volume
Big, intimidating	Parent's accounts	Urinal size too big, inside surface too open	Smaller, friendly-looking urinals
Not suitable for Children	Dull, uninviting,	Bad flushing system,	Child-friendly
Manual Flushing is a put-off	Parents, Students	Flush handle/ faucet is dirty, location is unsuitable. It is also a separate <i>post-act activity</i> and calls for courtesy and patience in the user.	Automatic flush

ideation


_urinal+washbasin



_installable temp. toilet

- _mobile infrastructure. Material re-use
- _bi-annual industrial maintenance
- _open to atmosphere, loss of pressure





concepts

6.1_Concept


1


The Aim- To reduce splash from the inside surface of urinal The premise- Splash can be controlled by limiting the striking angle of the stream to a small value.

The solution- by providing a form that has a circular shape, it is ensured that the urine always strikes the surface tangentially(see fig).


Features;

- •Curved shape to reduce splash back
- Unique form





Above dimensions in mm





Advantages: Disadvantages: Splash control No pan at Elegant form front.

Concept 1 & 2

Concept 3 & 4

roundup









Final concept



_Compact urinals

"..reasonable reduction in size.. and better ergonomics.."

Advantages

- •Ergonomics:
- •Better access- can come closer
- Reduced chances of spillage/ splashing
- •Reduced demands for stream control on part of the user
- Reduced splash(form dependent)

Efficiency/ Saving:

- •Smaller volume= less material
- Lesser space consumed in room
- •Lesser surface area= less flush water needed







Steward Waterless





Rig pictures

- Deciding dimensions of frame
- 2. Front face options, side view options




Deciding dimensions (and form): (ergonomics)

Depth and inside surfaces

- Pee angle story: dick-urine path(top and side) give Kira reference
- Show counter surfaces (15 and 30 degrees), show strike angle and rebound paths
- Tell about process in mind(deep drawn or slip casting, and avoidance of undercuts)
- Then speak about splash-proofness and show final form

Process technicalities




"In the course of normal urination, the angle formed by the maximum trajectory rarely exceeds 60 degrees from the vertical (with the notable exception of small boys)..*"
Hence range considered= 0 to 90 degrees.


_Mounting height for urinal

"mounting-height - height of the lowermost point of the urinal bowl rim, (shown in diagram)"


very diverse range of body-sizes,
need different mounting heights
for the urinal
that it is easily accessed by all



r1, r2 = "Rim" height [Mounting height parameter for urinals]




Urinal bowl ergonomics 1 (side)




: the fig on the right are scans from the same book. Image 1 and 2 show the various sectional possibilities for a men's urinal and the "splash" controlling ability in each of them.


- •The main surfaces (back and bottom) are designed such that t rebound of the urine stream is contained within the bowl.
- •The angles deduced from this method are 15° and 30°



Urinal bowl ergonomics 2 (side & front)



- •The main surfaces (back and bottom) are designed such that the rebound of the urine stream is contained within the bowl.
- •The angles deduced from this method are 15° and 30°



- •260 mm. is the height difference between the two mounting heights
- •The depth is kept at 150 mm.

Flushing

- the second part of the process.
- •In schools (as all public places), ppl. don't like to flush.
- •Malfunctioning flushes make urinal unclean, unhygienic, and sometimes, waste a lot of water, if broken
- •Auto-flushing, or sensor-operated flushes offer an excellent solution to both problems
- Needed badly in schools
- •Reasons:
 - •Flush tap is either too high, awkwardly mounted or dirty*
 - •Manual flushing requires operator to either open the tap before the act and close it after, or wait for adequate water to flow, post-operation(courtesy flush). Both activities are disliked by kids.
 - •Kids are always in a hurry. Hardly ever use the flush
- Hence Auto-flush is necessary in a school toilet.

Product development

- •It was observed that the quality of masonry and general installation work was poor.
- In order to ensure proper functioning of the product, it is proposed to integrate the auto-flush and urinal bowl in 1 unit
- This can be achieved in the foll. Ways;
- [show casing go from just on top to rim to full covering]
- •From full steel to semi plastic to steel and plastic
- Then speak about advantages of having a casing

Flexibility in design

[Materials, etc.]

First considered fully in plastic. Then in steel and plastic, ceramic and plastic, fully in ceramic, enamelware and plastic, etc
in The same form can be implemented in a number of material possibilities.

(ceramic, steel, enamelware and plastic)

This can be achieved in the foll. Ways;

Design justification/ validation

- •AF: cant put in walls as masonry is bad.
- ·Wish to make a single industry manufactured unit
- •Bottom drain vs. side drain- fine for both
- Maintenance- none.


costing

- Tabulate
- •Write list of parts, volume, sp wt, weight, cost/kg and cost of material, plus manufacturing costs and other overheads etc.

•

8.1_EVALUATIONProduct Analysis and Comparison







references

_references

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Education_in_India http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/7/7d/Sulabh_ecosan_toilet.jpg

SSHE - School sanitation and hygiene education, (technical note series)

•Norris B, Wilson Joh -"Child Data- The handbook of child measurements and capabilities", Department of Trade and Industry, 1976

•Kira Alexander- "The Bathroom", House & Garden, May 1972

•Ray Gaur G., Rao A. G., Gaffoor Abdul- "Ergonomic Evaluation and Design Consideration for Indian Sanitary Wares", Department of Science and Technology, Oct. 1991

•Ray G. G., Sadhu N.- "Anthropometry of Indian School Children", Ergonomics Cell, Industrial Design Center, Mar 1986

•Ministry of Health and Education, UNICEF- "School Sanitation and Hygiene Education- Technical Note Series", July 2008

_acknowledgements

Mrs. R. Thomas

The Principal, staff, students of KV IIT Powai

Mr. & Mrs. Janardhanan

friends